

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,792	10/20/2003	Larry Neil Lewis	132913-1 7574	
6147 7	590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59		9	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYUNA		•	1774	
	·		DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Office Action Summary		10/689,792	LEWIS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Camie S. Thompson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on <i>Elect</i>	ion filed12/23/05.						
2a) This action is F	· · ·	action is non-final.						
<u>~=</u>	, 							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-110	is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-50 and 81-110</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 51-80</u> is/are rejected.							
,—								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
12) Acknowledgmer	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. ☐ Certified of	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. ☐ Certified of	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cite		4) Interview Summary						
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		150				
3) ☑ Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10</u>	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) /20/03; 1/23/04	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	асент Аррисацоп (РТО	- 1 3 2)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-28 and 51-80 drawn to an electro-active device is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,792 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-28 and 51-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "active layer" in the sixth line of the claim. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 is rendered indefinite because it is unclear as to whether or not the "electro-active layer"

is distinguishable from the "active layer".

Claim 28 recites the limitation "active layer" in the second line of the claim. There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 51 recites the limitation "active layer" in the sixth line of the claim. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 80 recites the limitation "active layer" in the second line of the claim. There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 51 is rendered indefinite because it is unclear as to whether the first-metal containing layer

is disposed between the "electro-active layer" and one of said first electrode and said second

electrode or the first-metal containing layer is disposed between the "active layer" and said

transparent electrode. It is unclear if there are two distinct layers – "electro-active" or "active

layer".

Application/Control Number: 10/689,792 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 20-25, 28, 51-52, 54-58 and 71-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al., U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2003/0227021.

 Yamazaki discloses a light emission device that comprises a substrate that can be glass or a plastic substrate such as polyester and first and second electrodes (see paragraph 0188).

 Additionally, the reference discloses a light absorbing multi-layered film disposed between the first and second electrodes (see reference claim 1). Reference claim 5 discloses that the light absorbing multi-layered film contains at least one layer comprising one selected from the group of aluminum, copper, silver, platinum, rhodium, gold and nickel as per instant claims 6-9. Also, the reference discloses an organic layer disposed between the first and second electrode (see reference claim 23). Paragraph 0106 of the Yamazaki reference discloses that the second electrode can be a transparent conductive film such as ITO, indium oxide-zinc oxide alloy or zinc oxide as per instant claims 24-25. Reference claim 46 discloses a second metal layer disposed between the first and second electrode. Paragraph 0099 of the reference discloses that the metal film layer is transparent to light having a wavelength in a range from about 300 nm to

Application/Control Number: 10/689,792 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

about 800 nm. Paragraph 0114 of the reference discloses that the metal-containing layer has a transmittance of visible light is 90-100% and being semi-transparent for visible light indicates that transmittance of visible light is 50 through 80%.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1724 3/3/04